IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 6395 of 1992

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

MANOJBHAI M GOHIL

Versus

KHEDA DIST PANCHAYAT

Appearance:

MR DIPAK C. RAVAL for MR MR ANAND for Petitioner No. 1-2

MR ND NANAVATI for Respondent No. 1,3

MR R.M. CHAUHAN, AGP, for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 15/11/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr. Dipak C. Raval, learned advocate who appears for Mr. M.R. Anand, learned counsel for the petitioners, states that though three intimations were sent to the petitioners, there is no response from them. It appears that interim relief was granted restraining

the respondent authorities from enrolling any candidate for the multi purpose health workers course commencing in August/September 1992, except after holding the selection on merits pursuant to the advertisement at Annexure-A. In the circumstances, the petition seems to have become infructuous. The petition is, therefore, accordingly disposed of. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs. Liberty to apply in case of difficulty.

[M.C. PATEL, J.]

ar